

ON THE RANGE OF THE DERIVATIVE  
OF GÂTEAUX-SMOOTH FUNCTIONS  
ON SEPARABLE BANACH SPACES

BY

ROBERT DEVILLE

*Mathématiques Pures de Bordeaux, Université de Bordeaux  
351, cours de la libération, 33400, Talence, France  
e-mail: deville@math.u-bordeaux.fr*

AND

PETR HÁJEK\*

*Mathematical Institute, Czech Academy of Science  
Žitná 25, Prague, Czech Republic  
e-mail: hajek@math.cas.cz*

ABSTRACT

We prove that there exists a Lipschitz function from  $\ell^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which is Gâteaux-differentiable at every point and such that for every  $x, y \in \ell^1$ , the norm of  $f'(x) - f'(y)$  is bigger than 1. On the other hand, for every Lipschitz and Gâteaux-differentiable function from an arbitrary Banach space  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there always exist two points  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\|f'(x) - f'(y)\|$  is less than  $\varepsilon$ . We also construct, in every infinite dimensional separable Banach space, a real valued function  $f$  on  $X$ , which is Gâteaux-differentiable at every point, has bounded non-empty support, and with the properties that  $f'$  is norm to weak\* continuous and  $f'(X)$  has an isolated point  $a$ , and that necessarily  $a \neq 0$ .

---

\* This work has been initiated while the second-named author was visiting the University of Bordeaux. The second-named author is supported by grant AV 1019003, A1 019 205, GA CR 201 01 1198.

Received January 19, 2004

## 1. Introduction

Let  $f$  be a mapping from a Banach space  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$  which is Gâteaux-differentiable at every point. Our purpose is the study of the range of the derivative of  $f$ . We denote this range  $f'(X)$ . Let us recall that sufficient conditions on a subset  $A$  of a dual Banach space  $X^*$  so that it is the range of the derivative of a real valued function on  $X$  which is Fréchet-differentiable at each point have been obtained in [BFKL], [BFL], [AFJ] and [G1]. In this case, it was noticed in [AD] that whenever  $X$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space with separable dual, there exists a  $C^1$ -smooth real valued function on  $X$  with bounded support and such that  $f'(X) = X^*$ . On the other hand, it follows from [H] that if  $f$  is a function on  $c_0$  with locally uniformly continuous derivative, then  $f'(c_0)$  is included in a countable union of norm compact subsets of  $\ell^1$ . The structure of the range of  $f'$  whenever  $f'$  satisfies a Hölder condition has been investigated in [G2]. On the other hand, it was observed in [ADJ] that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are separable Banach spaces and if  $X$  is infinite dimensional, one can always find a Gâteaux-differentiable function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f'(X)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . We shall investigate here phenomena which can occur when  $f$  is Gâteaux-differentiable, but not when  $f$  is Fréchet-differentiable. In particular, for each infinite dimensional separable Banach space  $X$ , we shall construct in section 2 a Gâteaux-differentiable function  $f$  on  $X$ , with bounded support, and such that for all  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\|f'(x) - f'(0)\| \geq 1$ . In section 3, we shall consider the following question: let  $X, Y$  be two Banach spaces. Is it possible to construct a Lipschitz continuous mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , Gâteaux-differentiable at each point, and such that, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , we have  $\|f'(x) - f'(y)\| \geq 1$ ? Clearly, this is not possible whenever  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is separable. We shall prove that this is not possible either whenever  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ , but such a construction will be carried out whenever  $(X, Y) = (\ell^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$  and whenever  $(X, Y) = (\ell^p, \ell^q)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq q < +\infty$ .

## 2. Isolated points in the range of the derivative of a function

Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and  $f$  be a real valued function defined on  $X$ . If  $f$  is Fréchet-differentiable at every point, then Malý's Theorem ([M]) asserts that the range of  $f'$ , denoted  $f'(X)$ , is connected. Therefore, if  $f$  is not affine,  $f'(X)$ , endowed with the norm-topology, has no isolated points. If  $f$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at every point of  $X$  and if  $f$  is not affine, the following proposition says that  $f'(X)$  has no  $w^*$ -isolated points. We shall see later that in this case  $f'(X)$  can have isolated points for the norm topology.

**PROPOSITION:** *Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional Banach space, and let  $f$  be a real valued locally Lipschitz and Gâteaux-differentiable function on  $X$ . Then either  $f$  is affine or  $f'(X)$  has no  $w^*$ -isolated points.*

*Remark:* J. Saint Raymond ([S]) constructed a mapping  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , Fréchet-differentiable at each point, and so that  $\{det(f'(x)); x \in \mathbb{R}^2\} = \{0, 1\}$ . Therefore  $f'(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is not connected and has two isolated points. Consequently, there is no analog of Malý's theorem and of the above proposition for vector valued mappings.

*Proof:* Let  $f$  be a real valued locally Lipschitz and Gâteaux-differentiable function on  $X$  which is not affine. Therefore,  $Card(f'(X)) \geq 2$ . Fix  $y^* \in f'(X)$ , and we may assume that  $y^* = f'(0)$ . To see that  $y^*$  is not  $w^*$  isolated, fix any  $z^* = f'(z) \neq y^*$  and a neighbourhood

$$V = \{x^* \in X^*; |(x^* - y^*)(x_i)| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $z^* \notin V$  and  $x_1 = z$ . Define  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i\right).$$

Since  $F$  is locally Lipschitz continuous and Gâteaux-differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it is Fréchet-differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$F'(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \left(f'\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i\right)(x_j)\right)_{j=1}^n.$$

So  $F'(0, 0, \dots, 0) = (\langle y^*, x_i \rangle)$  and  $F'(1, 0, \dots, 0) = (\langle z^*, x_i \rangle)$ . Since  $z^* \notin V$ ,  $F'(1, 0, \dots, 0) \neq F'(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . By Malý's theorem,  $F'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is connected. Therefore, there is a  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  such that  $0 < \|F'(t) - F'(0)\| < \varepsilon$ . Thus, if we set  $x = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + \dots + t_n x_n$ , we see that  $x^* = f'(x) \in V$  and  $x^* \neq y^*$ .

From now on, we say that a real valued function on an infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$  is a **bump** function if it has bounded non-empty support. We shall denote  $B(r)$  the set of all  $x^* \in X^*$  such that  $\|x^*\| < r$ . If  $E$  is a Banach space,  $x \in E$  and  $r > 0$ , we denote  $B_E(x, r)$  (resp.  $\bar{B}_E(x, r)$ ) the open ball (resp. closed ball) in  $E$  of center  $x$  and radius  $r$ . If  $f$  is a continuous and Gâteaux-differentiable bump function on  $X$ , then, according to the Ekeland variational principle, the **norm** closure of  $f'(X)$  contains a ball  $B(r)$  for some  $r > 0$ . A natural conjecture would be that the norm closure of  $f'(X)$  is norm connected,

or at least that  $f'(X)$  does not contain an isolated point. This is not so as shown by the following construction.

**THEOREM 1:** *Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional separable Banach space. Then, there exists a bump function  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $f$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at every point,  $f'$  is norm to weak\* continuous and  $\|f'(0) - f'(x)\| \geq 1$  whenever  $x \neq 0$ . If  $X^*$  is separable, we can assume moreover that  $f$  is  $C^1$  on  $X \setminus \{0\}$ .*

*Remark:* According to the above discussion,  $0$  is not an isolated point of  $f'(X)$ , so necessarily  $f'(0) \neq 0$ .

*Proof:* We shall use two lemmas.

**LEMMA 1:** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $U$  be an open connected subset of  $X^*$  such that  $0 \in U$  and  $x^* \in U$ . Assume there exists on  $X$  a Lipschitz continuous bump function which is Gâteaux-differentiable (resp. Fréchet-differentiable) at every point. Then there exists a Lipschitz continuous bump function  $\beta$  on  $X$  with support contained in the unit ball, which is Gâteaux-differentiable (resp. Fréchet-differentiable) at every point, such that  $\beta'(X) \subset U$  and  $\beta'(x) = x^*$  for all  $x$  in a neighbourhood of  $0$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 1:* Let  $b$  be a Lipschitz bump function on  $X$  which is Gâteaux-differentiable (resp. Fréchet-differentiable) at every point of  $X$ . By translation, we can assume that  $b(0) \neq 0$ . Replacing  $b(x)$  by  $\lambda_1 b(\lambda_2 x)$ , we can also assume that there exists  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $b(x) \geq 1$  whenever  $\|x\| \leq \delta$  and that the support of  $b$  is included in the unit ball. Composing  $b$  with a suitable  $C^\infty$ -smooth function from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can assume moreover that  $b(x) = 1$  whenever  $\|x\| \leq \delta$ , and that  $0 \leq b(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $U$  is connected, there exists finitely many points  $x_0^*, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in U$  such that  $x_0^* = 0$ ,  $x_n^* = x^*$ , and the segments  $[x_i^*, x_{i+1}^*]$  are included in  $U$ . The polygonal line  $R = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} [x_i^*, x_{i+1}^*]$  is compact, therefore there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $R + B(\varepsilon) \subset U$ . By adding if necessary points on the polygonal line  $R$ , we can assume that for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\|x_i^* - x_{i-1}^*\| < \varepsilon / \|b'\|_\infty$ . Define

$$b_i(x) = b(x) \cdot (x_i^* - x_{i-1}^*)(x).$$

We have  $b'_i(x) = (x_i^* - x_{i-1}^*)(x) \cdot b'(x) + b(x) \cdot (x_i^* - x_{i-1}^*)$ , with  $b(x) \cdot (x_i^* - x_{i-1}^*) \in [0, x_i^* - x_{i-1}^*]$  and  $\|(x_i^* - x_{i-1}^*)(x) \cdot b'(x)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ , therefore  $b'_i(X) \subset [0, x_i^* - x_{i-1}^*] + B(\varepsilon)$ . Finally, set

$$\beta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta^{i-1} b_i(x/\delta^{i-1});$$

$\beta$  is a Lipschitz continuous bump function on  $X$  which is Gâteaux-differentiable (resp. Fréchet-differentiable) at every point. Let  $x \in X$  and assume that  $\delta^i < \|x\| \leq \delta^{i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . If  $j > i$ ,  $\|x/\delta^{j-1}\| > 1$ , so  $b_j(y/\delta^{j-1}) = 0$  for all  $y$  in a neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $b'_j(x/\delta^{j-1}) = 0$ . If  $j < i$ ,  $\|x/\delta^{j-1}\| \leq \delta$ , so  $b'_j(x/\delta^{j-1}) = x_j^* - x_{j-1}^*$ . Therefore

$$\beta'(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (x_j^* - x_{j-1}^*) + b'_i(x/\delta^i) = x_{i-1}^* + b'_i(x/\delta^i) \in [x_{i-1}^*, x_i^*] + B(\varepsilon).$$

Moreover, if  $\|x\| \leq \delta^n$ , then  $\beta'(x) = x_n^* = x^*$ . Thus  $\beta'(x) = x^*$  for all  $x$  in a neighbourhood of 0 and  $\beta'(X) \subset R + B(\varepsilon) \subset U$ .

**LEMMA 2:** *Let  $X, Y$  be two Banach spaces,  $a \in X, V$  be an open neighbourhood of  $a$ , and  $f : V \rightarrow Y$  be continuous on  $V$  and Gâteaux-differentiable at every point of  $V \setminus \{a\}$ . If  $f'(x)$  has a limit  $\ell$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  endowed with the strong operator topology as  $x$  tends to  $a$ , then  $f$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at  $a$  and  $f'(a) = \ell$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 2:* This result is well-known whenever  $X$  is the real line. In the general case, fix  $h \in X$ . The mapping  $\phi_h$  defined on the real line by  $\phi_h(t) = f(a + th)$  whenever  $t \neq 0$ ,  $\phi'_h(t) = f'(a + th).h$  tends to  $\ell.h$  in  $Y$  as  $t$  tends to 0. Using the one dimensional case,  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$  in the direction  $h$  and  $f'(a).h = \ell.h$ . This proves that  $f$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at  $a$  and  $f'(a) = \ell$ .

In order to prove the theorem, let  $a^* \in X^*$  such that  $1 < \|a^*\| < 2$ . Let  $(u_n)$  be a dense sequence in  $X$  and

$$V_n = \{x^* \in X^*; |x^*(u_i) - a^*(u_i)| < 1/2^n \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Let  $(V_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a decreasing sequence of weak\* open subsets containing  $a$  so that, if  $y_n^* \in V_n$  and if  $(y_n^*)$  is bounded, then  $(y_n^*)$  converges to  $a^*$  for the weak\*-topology. Moreover,  $W_n = V_n \cap \{x^* \in X^*; 1 < \|x^* - a^*\| < 2\}$  is connected for each  $n$ , because  $X$  is infinite dimensional. Let  $(x_n^*) \subset X^*$  be a sequence such that  $x_1^* = 0$  and for every  $n$ ,  $x_n^* \in W_n$ . For each  $n$ ,  $1 < \|x_n^* - a^*\| < 2$  and  $(x_n^*)$  converges to  $a^*$  for the weak\* topology.  $W_n - x_n^* = \{x^* - x_n^*; x^* \in W_n\}$  is a norm open connected subset of  $X^*$  containing 0. Since  $x_{n+1}^* \in W_{n+1} \subset W_n$ , we also have  $x_{n+1}^* - x_n^* \in W_n - x_n^*$ . Since  $X$  is separable (resp.  $X^*$  is separable) there exists on  $X$  a Lipschitz continuous bump function which is Gâteaux-differentiable (resp. Fréchet-differentiable) at each point. According to Lemma 1, there exists a Lipschitz continuous bump  $b_n$  which is Gâteaux-differentiable

(resp. Fréchet-differentiable) at every point, such that  $b'_n(X) \subset W_n - x_n^*$ , with support in the unit ball and such that  $b'_n(x) = x_{n+1}^* - x_n^*$  for all  $x$  satisfying  $\|x\| < \delta_n$ . Denote  $c_1 = 1$  and, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $c_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_i$ . Define

$$b(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} c_n b_n(x/c_n);$$

$b$  has bounded support since  $b(x) = 0$  whenever  $\|x\| \geq 1$ . On  $X \setminus \{0\}$  this sum is locally finite, so  $b$  is Gâteaux-differentiable (resp. Fréchet-differentiable) at each point of  $X \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $c_{n+1} \leq \|x\| < c_n$ , then we have  $b'(x) = x_n^* + b'_n(x/c_n) \in W_n$ , so  $\|b'(x)\|$  is uniformly bounded in  $x$ ,  $b'(X \setminus \{0\}) \subset X^* \setminus B(a^*, 1)$ , and  $b'(x) \xrightarrow{w^*} a^*$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Lemma 2 then shows that  $b$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at 0 and that  $b'(0) = a^*$ .

### 3. Can all the derivatives be far away from each other?

We first notice that, under mild regularity assumptions, the answer to the above question is negative for real valued functions.

**PROPOSITION:** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitz continuous, everywhere Gâteaux-differentiable function. Then, for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $y, z \in B_X(x, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\|f'(y) - f'(z)\| \leq \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof:* We shall actually show that if  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is locally uniformly continuous and everywhere Gâteaux-differentiable, then, for every  $x \in X$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $h \in X$ ,  $\|h\| \leq \delta$ , there exists  $y \in B_X(x, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\|f'(y+h) - f'(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Fix  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $B_X(x, 2\varepsilon_0)$ . Fix also  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . By uniform continuity, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(z) - f(y)| < \varepsilon^2/4$  whenever  $y, z \in B_X(x, 2\varepsilon_0)$  and  $\|z - y\| \leq \delta$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\delta < \varepsilon/2$ . Take any  $h \in X$  such that  $\|h\| \leq \delta$ . Define  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\varphi(y) = f(y+h) - f(y)$  if  $\|y-x\| \leq \varepsilon_0$  and  $\varphi(y) = +\infty$  otherwise. The function  $\varphi$  is lower semi-continuous on  $X$  and, for all  $y \in B_X(x, \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $-\varepsilon^2/4 < \varphi(y) < \varepsilon^2/4$ . In particular,  $\varphi(x) < \inf_{y \in X} \varphi(y) + \varepsilon^2/2$ . The Ekeland variational principle then tells us the existence of  $y \in X$  such that  $\|y-x\| \leq \varepsilon/2$  and for all  $u \in X$ ,  $\varphi(u) \geq \varphi(y) - \varepsilon\|u-y\|$ . Since  $\|y-x\| \leq \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon_0$ , the function  $\varphi$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at  $y$  and we obtain  $\|\varphi'(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Hence, if we denote  $z = y+h$ ,  $\|f'(y) - f'(z)\| \leq \varepsilon$ , and we have  $\|z-x\| \leq \|h\| + \|y-x\| < \varepsilon$ .

The derivatives of a Fréchet-differentiable mapping cannot be far away from each other for mappings which are everywhere Fréchet-differentiable.

**PROPOSITION:** *Let  $X, Y$  be separable Banach spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an everywhere Fréchet-differentiable locally uniformly continuous mapping. Then, for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $y, z \in B_X(x, \varepsilon)$ ,  $y \neq z$ , such that  $\|f'(y) - f'(z)\| \leq \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof:* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $B_X(x, \varepsilon + 1/n_0)$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$A_n = \{y \in B_X(x, \varepsilon), \|f(y+h) - f(y) - f'(y).h\| \leq \varepsilon\|h\| \text{ whenever } \|h\| \leq 1/n\}.$$

Since  $B_X(x, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} A_n$ , there exists  $n_1 \geq n_0$  and  $u \in B_X(x, \varepsilon)$  such that  $u$  is an accumulation point of  $A_{n_1}$ . Pick  $y, z \in A_{n_1}$  such that  $y \neq z$  and  $\|y - z\| < \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is chosen so that  $\|f(u) - f(v)\| \leq \varepsilon/n_1$  whenever  $u, v \in B(x, \varepsilon + 1/n_0)$  and  $\|u - v\| < \alpha$ . We have

$$\|f(y+h) - f(y) - f'(y).h\| \leq \varepsilon/n_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f(z+h) - f(z) - f'(z).h\| \leq \varepsilon/n_1$$

for all  $h$  such that  $\|h\| \leq 1/n_1$ , so

$$\|(f(y+h) - f(z+h)) - (f(y) - f(z)) - (f'(y) - f'(z)).h\| \leq 2\varepsilon/n_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\|(f'(y) - f'(z)).h\| \leq 4\varepsilon/n_1.$$

Since this is satisfied for all  $h$  such that  $\|h\| \leq 1/n_1$ , we obtain that  $\|f'(y) - f'(z)\| \leq 4\varepsilon$ .

In view of the above propositions, one could believe that whenever  $X, Y$  are Banach spaces (or vector normed spaces) and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping Gâteaux-differentiable at each point of  $X$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $y, z \in X$  such that  $\|f'(y) - f'(z)\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Our next result proves that this is not so.

**THEOREM 2:** (1) *There exists a Lipschitz mapping  $F: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , Gâteaux-differentiable at each point of  $\ell^1$ , such that for every  $x, y \in \ell^1$ ,  $x \neq y$ , then  $\|F'(x) - F'(y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \mathbb{R}^2)} \geq 1$ . Moreover, for each  $h \in \ell^1$ ,  $x \rightarrow F'(x).h$  is continuous from  $\ell^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .*

(2) *Let us denote  $D$  the vector normed space of elements of  $\ell^1$  with finite support. There exists a Lipschitz function  $G: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , Gâteaux-differentiable at each point of  $\ell^1$ , such that for every  $x, y \in D$ ,  $x \neq y$ , then  $\|G'(x) - G'(y)\|_{\ell^\infty} \geq 1$ .*

We shall construct  $F$  and  $G$  with the properties of Theorem 2 using series. We were inspired by a construction from [DI]. We need an auxiliary construction.

LEMMA 3: Given  $\Delta = (a', a, b, b') \in \mathbb{R}^4$  such that  $a' < a < b < b'$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\varphi = \varphi_{\Delta, \varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that:

- (i)  $|\varphi(x, y)| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi(x, y) = 0$  whenever  $x \notin [a', b']$ ,
- (iii)  $\|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- (iv)  $\|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(x, y)\| = 1$  whenever  $x \in [a, b]$ ,
- (v)  $\|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(x, y)\| \leq 1$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- (vi) if we denote  $\varphi(x, y) = (\varphi_1(x, y), \varphi_2(x, y))$ , then  $\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y}(x, 0) = 1$  whenever  $x \in [a, b]$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3:* Let  $\beta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^\infty$ -smooth function such that  $0 \leq \beta(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x$ ,  $\beta(x) = 0$  whenever  $x \notin [a', b']$  and  $\beta(x) = 1$  whenever  $x \in [a, b]$ . If  $n \geq 1$  is large enough, the function defined by

$$\varphi(x, y) = \frac{\beta(x)}{n}(\sin(ny), \cos(ny))$$

satisfies the desired properties.

We shall also use the following criterion of Gâteaux-differentiability of the sum of a series:

LEMMA 4: Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces and, for all  $n$ , let  $f_n: X \rightarrow Y$  be Gâteaux-differentiable mappings. Assume that  $(\sum f_n)$  converges pointwise on  $X$ , and that there exists a constant  $K > 0$  so that for all  $h$ ,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \sup_{x \in X} \left\| \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial h}(x) \right\| \leq K \|h\|.$$

Then the mapping  $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n$  is Gâteaux-differentiable on  $X$ , for all  $x$ ,  $f'(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} f'_n(x)$  (where the convergence of the series is in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for the strong operator topology), and  $f$  is  $K$ -Lipschitz. Moreover, if each  $f'_n$  is continuous from  $X$  endowed with the norm topology into  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with the strong operator topology, then  $f'$  shares the same continuity property.

*Proof of Lemma 4:* Fix  $x \in X$ . First observe that condition (1) implies that for all  $h$ , the series  $(\sum \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial h}(x)) = (\sum f'_n(x) \cdot h)$  converges in  $Y$ . Therefore, the series  $(\sum f'_n(x))$  converges in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for the strong operator topology, to some operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ , and by (1),  $\|T\| \leq K$ . For each  $h \in X$ , we define  $g_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$  by  $g_n(t) = f_n(x + th)$ . The function  $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n$  is well defined. Since

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \|g'_n\|_\infty \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \sup_{x \in X} \left\| \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial h}(x) \right\| \leq K \|h\|$$



the mapping  $g$  is differentiable and

$$g'(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1} g'_n(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial h}(x) = T(h).$$

Thus we have proved that  $f$  is differentiable along every direction  $h$  and that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial h}(x) = T(h)$ . In other words,  $f$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at  $x$  and  $f'(x) = T$ . Since for all  $x$ ,  $\|f'(x)\| \leq K$ , the mean value theorem implies that  $f$  is  $K$ -Lipschitz.

*Proof of Theorem 2, part (1):* Fix an enumeration  $\Delta_k = (a'_k, a_k, b_k, b'_k)$ ,  $k \in N$ , of all quadruples of dyadic numbers such that  $a'_k < a_k < b_k < b'_k$ . Select integers  $m_k^n$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $n < m_k^n$  and  $(m_k^n)_k$  is an increasing sequence, and satisfying

$$(2) \quad m_k^n = m_\ell^p \Rightarrow n = p \text{ and } k = \ell.$$

This condition is satisfied, for instance, whenever  $m_k^n = 2^k \cdot 3^n$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\varepsilon_k^n$  be positive real numbers such that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k^n = \varepsilon$ . We note  $\varepsilon_k = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k^n$ , so that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon$ . Put  $f_{n,k}: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that, if  $x = (x_i) \in \ell^1$ , then  $f_{n,k}(x) = \varphi_{\Delta_k, \varepsilon_k^n}(x_n, x_{m_k^n})$ :  $f_{n,k}$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\ell^1$ . The function  $F: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  we are looking for is defined by

$$F(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n,k}(x).$$

CLAIM 1:  $F$  is well-defined.

Indeed, according to condition (i) of Lemma 3,  $\|f_{n,k}\|_\infty = \|\varphi_{\Delta_k, \varepsilon_k^n}\|_\infty = \varepsilon_k^n$ , so the series defining  $F$  converges uniformly.

CLAIM 2:  $F$  is Gâteaux-differentiable on  $\ell^1$  and  $F$  is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Lipschitz-continuous on  $\ell^1$ .

To see this, we apply Lemma 4: let  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n, \dots) \in \ell^1$ . By (iii) and (v), we have for all  $n, k$

$$\sup_{x \in X} \left\| \frac{\partial f_{n,k}}{\partial h}(x) \right\| \leq |h_{m_k^n}| + \varepsilon_k^n |h_n| \leq |h_{m_k^n}| + \varepsilon_k^n \|h\|_1.$$

So, because of condition (2),

$$\sum_{n,k} \sup_{x \in X} \left\| \frac{\partial f_{n,k}}{\partial h}(x) \right\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|h\|_1.$$

We have proved that condition (1) of Lemma 4 is satisfied with  $K = 1 + \varepsilon$ , thus  $F$  is Gâteaux-differentiable on  $\ell^1$  and  $F$  is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Lipschitz-continuous on  $\ell^1$ .

CLAIM 3: If  $x \neq y \in \ell^1$ , then  $\|F'(x) - F'(y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \mathbb{R}^2)} \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$ .

Indeed, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \neq y_n$ . Let  $k$  be such that  $x_n \in [a_k, b_k]$  and  $y_n \notin [a'_k, b'_k]$ . According to (ii) and (iv) of Lemma 3,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f_{n,k}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(x) \right\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial f_{n,k}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(y) = 0.$$

On the other hand, for all  $r$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f_{m_k^n, r}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(x) \right\| \leq \varepsilon_r \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \frac{\partial f_{m_k^n, r}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(y) \right\| \leq \varepsilon_r$$

and, if  $\ell \neq m_k^n$  and  $(\ell, r) \neq (n, k)$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f_{\ell, r}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial f_{\ell, r}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(y) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F'(x) - F'(y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \mathbb{R}^2)} &\geq \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(x) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(y) \right\| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{(\ell, r) \neq (n, k)} \left\| \frac{\partial f_{\ell, r}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(x) - \frac{\partial f_{\ell, r}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(y) \right\| \\ &\geq 1 - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now prove part (2) of Theorem 2. Since  $F: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , we can write  $F = (G, H)$ , where  $G, H: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We shall also denote  $f_{n,k} = (g_{n,k}, h_{n,k})$ ;  $G: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz continuous, Gâteaux-differentiable at each point of  $\ell^1$ . Let  $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in D$  and  $n$  be such that  $x_n \neq y_n$ . Let  $k$  be such that  $x_n \in [a_k, b_k], y_n \notin [a'_k, b'_k]$  and  $x_{m_k^n} = 0$ . According to (vi) of Lemma 3, we have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial g_{n,k}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(x) \right\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial g_{n,k}}{\partial x_{m_k^n}}(y) = 0.$$

We conclude, as in the proof of Claim 3 of part (1), that

$$\|G'(x) - G'(y)\|_{\ell^\infty} \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Remark: (1) If we set  $\Phi = f/(1 - 2\varepsilon)$ , we have obtained, for every  $\alpha > 0$ , the construction of a function  $\Phi: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , Gâteaux-differentiable at every point of  $\ell^1$ , satisfying:

- (i) for all  $x, y \in \ell^1$ ,  $\|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\| \leq (1 + \alpha)\|x - y\|_1$ ,
- (ii) for all  $x \neq y \in \ell^1$ ,  $\|\Phi'(x) - \Phi'(y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \mathbb{R}^2)} \geq 1$ .

(2) Fix  $h \in \ell^1$ . Since  $x \rightarrow F'(x).h$  is continuous from  $\ell^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the set  $\{F'(x).h; x \in \ell^1\}$  is connected. This is in contrast with the fact that  $\{F'(x); x \in \ell^1\}$  is discrete in  $\mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$ .

(3) A careful look at the above construction shows that  $f$  is uniformly Gâteaux-differentiable.

(4) Note that for cardinality reasons, if  $\dim(X) \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is separable, then for all Gâteaux-differentiable mappings from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $y, z \in X, y \neq z$  such that  $\|f'(y) - f'(z)\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Therefore, it is not possible to replace  $\ell^1$  by  $\ell^p$  ( $p > 1$ ) in Theorem 2. However, there exists a Lipschitz function  $H: \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ , Gâteaux-differentiable at each point of  $\ell^2$ , such that for every  $x, y \in \ell^2$ , if  $x \neq y$ , then

$$\|H'(x) - H'(y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^2)} \geq 1.$$

This will follow from the following more general result:

**THEOREM 3:** *Let  $X_p = \ell^p$  if  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and  $X_\infty = c_0$ . Let us fix  $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a Lipschitz continuous mapping  $H: X_p \rightarrow X_q$ , Gâteaux-differentiable at each point of  $X_p$ , such that for every  $x, y \in X_p, x \neq y$ , then  $\|H'(x) - H'(y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_p, X_q)} \geq 1$ .*
- (2)  *$p \leq q$ .*
- (3)  *$\mathcal{L}(X_p, X_q)$  is not separable.*

*Proof of Theorem 3:* According to Remark (4) above, (1) implies (3). If  $p > q$ , then by Pitt's theorem, all operators from  $X_p$  to  $X_q$  are compact, hence  $\mathcal{L}(X_p, X_q)$  is separable. Therefore (3) implies (2). So it remains to prove that (2) implies (1). Assume that  $p \leq q$  and let  $(e_n)$  be the usual basis of  $X_p$ . Let  $T_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2, X_q)$  defined by  $T_k(x, y) = xe_{2k} + ye_{2k+1}$ . Denote  $a_q$  the common norm of the operators  $T_k$ . Let  $\Delta_k, \varepsilon_k^n, m_k^n$  and  $\varphi_{\Delta_k, \varepsilon_k^n}$  be defined as in the proof of Theorem 2. Put  $f_{n,k}: X_p \rightarrow X_q$  such that, if  $x = (x_i) \in X_p$ , then  $f_{n,k}(x) = T_{m_k^n} \circ \varphi_{\Delta_k, \varepsilon_k^n}(x_n, x_{m_k^n})$ : the function  $f_{n,k}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  mapping from  $X_p$  into  $X_q$ . The function  $H: X_p \rightarrow X_q$  we are looking for is defined by

$$H(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n,k}(x).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2,  $H$  is well-defined. Lemma 4 is no longer applicable in order to show that  $H$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at each point of  $X_p$ . But Lemma 4 remains true if the hypothesis (1) from Lemma 4 is replaced by

condition (3) below:

$$(3) \quad \text{for all } h, \left( \sum \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial h}(x) \right) \text{ converges uniformly with respect to } x.$$

So, fix  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n, \dots) \in X_p$ . We have

$$\frac{\partial f_{n,k}}{\partial h}(x) = h_n u_{k,n}(x) + h_{m_k^n} v_{k,n}(x)$$

with  $\|u_{k,n}(x)\|_q \leq \varepsilon_k^n a_q$ ,  $v_{k,n}(x) \in \text{span}\{e_{2m_k^n}, e_{2m_k^n+1}\}$  and  $\|v_{k,n}(x)\|_q \leq a_q$ .

We claim that both series  $(\sum_{k,n} h_n u_{k,n}(x))$  and  $(\sum_{k,n} h_{m_k^n} v_{k,n}(x))$  are uniformly converging with respect to  $x$ . Indeed, for the first one, this follows from the fact that for each  $x$ ,  $\|h_n u_{k,n}(x)\|_q \leq \|h\|_p \cdot a_q \cdot \varepsilon_k^n$ , and that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k^n < +\infty$ . For the second one,  $(\sum_k h_{m_k^n} v_{k,m}(x))$  converges uniformly because it satisfies the uniform Cauchy condition. Indeed, fix  $\delta > 0$  and a finite set  $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{(k,n) \notin A} h_{m_k^n}^p < \delta^p$ . For fixed  $x$ , the  $v_{k,n}(x)$  are elements of  $X_q$  with disjoint supports, so, for any finite subset  $F$  of  $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{(n,k) \in F} h_{m_k^n} v_{k,m}(x) \right\|_{X_q} &= \left( \sum_{(n,k) \in F} \|h_{m_k^n} v_{k,m}(x)\|_{X_q}^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq a_q \left( \sum_{(n,k) \in F} h_{m_k^n}^q \right)^{1/q} \leq a_q \left( \sum_{(n,k) \in F} h_{m_k^n}^p \right)^{1/p} < a_q \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that we used in the above chain of inequalities the fact that  $p \leq q$ . The above estimate is uniform in  $x$ , therefore the series  $(\sum_{k,n} h_{m_k^n} v_{k,n}(x))$  satisfies the uniform Cauchy condition. Applying the variant of Lemma 4 mentioned above, we get that  $H$  is Lipschitz continuous and Gâteaux-differentiable at each point of  $X_p$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2, one sees that there exists  $a > 0$  such that for every  $x, y \in X_p$ , if  $x \neq y$ , then  $\|H'(x) - H'(y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^p, \ell^q)} \geq a$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors wish to thank D. Azagra for fruitful conversations that led to the current presentation of Theorem 3.

**References**

[AD] D. Azagra and R. Deville, *James' theorem fails for starlike bodies*, Journal of Functional Analysis **180** (2001), 328–346.  
 [ADJ] D. Azagra, R. Deville and M. Jimenez-Sevilla, *On the range of the derivatives of a smooth function between Banach spaces*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **134** (2003), 163–185.

- [AFJ] D. Azagra, M. Fabian and M. Jimenez-Sevilla, *Exact filling of figures with the derivatives of smooth mappings between Banach spaces*, to appear.
- [BFKL] J. M. Borwein, M. Fabian, I. Kortezov and P. D. Loewen, *The range of the gradient of a continuously differentiable bump*, *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis* **2** (2001), 1–19.
- [BFL] J. M. Borwein, M. Fabian and P. D. Loewen, *The range of the gradient of a Lipschitz  $C^1$ -smooth bump in infinite dimensions*, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **132** (2002), 239–251.
- [DI] R. Deville and M. Ivanov, *Smooth variational principle with constraints*, *Mathematische Nachrichten* **69** (1997), 418–426.
- [G1] T. Gaspari, *On the range of the derivative of a real valued function with bounded support*, *Studia Mathematica* **153** (2002), 81–99.
- [G2] T. Gaspari, *Bump functions with Holder derivatives*, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **56** (2004), 699–715.
- [H] P. Hájek, *Smooth functions on  $c_0$* , *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **104** (1998), 17–27.
- [M] J. Malý, *The Darboux property for gradients*, *Real Analysis Exchange* **22** (1996), 167–173.
- [S] J. Saint-Raymond, *Local inversion for differentiable functions and Darboux property*, *Mathematika* **49** (2002), 141–158.